UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,705	04/12/2007	Jurgen Dannenmaier	PN0423-US01	5049
22852 7590 11/09/2011 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER	
LLP	ŕ	WIEST, PHILIP R		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/595,705		DANNENMAIER ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
Philip R. Wiest		3761	
-			-

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 11 Au	ugust 2011.			
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conferenc	e will not be held for the following			
The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the P lude reasons why a review is approp is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief	riate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal be brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because there is at least or if in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37 or the bastice of appeal, whichever is greater. B7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail do	Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 7. The time period for filing an appeal alance of the two-month time period Further, the time period for filing of the ate of this decision or the receipt date			
The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 29-65. Claim(s) withdrawn from con		ows:			
	conference has been held. The rejection on the merits remains closed.				
	conference has been held. The rejectaction is required by applicant at this				
All participants:					
(1) Philip R. Wiest.	(3) <i>Tatyana Z</i>	alukaeva.			
(2) <u>Leslie Deak</u> .	(4)				
/Philip R Wiest/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761	/Tatyana Zalukaeva/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761	/Leslie R. Deak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761			